

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,953	03/13/2006	Frank Seidel	011235.56373US	5625
29911 7590 06/19/2099 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
			BURKHART, ELIZABETH A	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/538.953 SEIDEL, FRANK Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Elizabeth Burkhart 1792 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 May 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 18-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 18-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 June 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/19/07, 6/14/05.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/538,953

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 18-27 in the reply filed on 5/22/2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims in the PCT application have not been subject to a lack of unity objection during the International Phase, therefore the grouped inventions cannot be argued to not relate to a single general inventive concept. This is not found persuasive because the opinion of the IPEA is non-binding (MPEP 1893.03(e)), thus the absence of a lack of unity objection during the international phase does not preclude such in the national phase.

Further, the traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions of Group I and II include the same feature of the device for generating the coating gas, which includes the coating granules, being arranged near the workpiece to be coated and this common feature is not disclosed by Punola since Punola discloses placing aluminum pellets in gas generators that are arranged external to the reactor rather than near the workpiece. This is not found persuasive because while Punola does disclose placing aluminum pellets in the gas generators, Punola also discloses placing coating granules (Al-Cr particulates) in receptacles B1, B2 arranged near the workpiece 45 wherein the process gas (AlCl₃/carrier) is introduced onto the coating granules to generate a coating gas (AlCl₃/carrier) (Fig. 2, Col. 6, lines 1-25). Thus, this common feature was known in the art and does not constitute a special technical feature.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/538,953

Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 18-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Punola et al ('013).

Punola teaches a method for CVD coating of workpieces (gas turbine engine blades), in particular for aluminizing, comprising: arranging the workpieces 45 to be coated in a coating room, arranging coating granules (Al-Cr particulates) near the workpieces in receptacles B1, B2, heating the coating room with heater 12 to a process temperature together with the workpieces and together with the coating granules, introducing a process gas (AlCl₃/carrier) onto the coating granules after reaching the process temperature to generate the coating gas (AlCl, AlCl₂), and forming a coating on the workpiece with the coating gas. The workpieces 45 are positioned in several levels arranged one above the other in the coating room and wherein coating granules are arranged in receptacle B2 directly beneath the workpieces in each level. The process gas is introduced to each level (Fig. 2, Col. 14, line 23, Col. 1, line 57, Col. 6, lines 1-25). The process parameters are kept constant while workpiece is being coated since Punola discloses a specific deposition temperature and that a vacuum pump maintains streams S1, S2 at desired flow rates (Col. 5, lines 9-15).

Art Unit: 1792

Thus, Punola discloses every limitation of claims 18-22 and 24 and anticipates the claims

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 23, 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Punola et al ('013) as applied above in view of Hayman et al ('042) and Jenkin (GB 1070396).

Punola does not teach pulsing a process pressure during the holding time by lowering the process pressure by withdrawing the coating gas and then generating a second coating gas.

Hayman discloses a process for producing diffusion coatings on turbine blades comprising enclosing the turbine blade in a chamber with a particulate (Al, Cr, etc) and halide activator and cyclically varying the pressure of an inert or reducing gas or mixture

Application/Control Number: 10/538,953

Art Unit: 1792

of said gases within the chamber while maintaining the contents of the chamber at a sufficient temperature to form a diffusion coating (Abstract, Col. 2, lines 15-34). The pressure is varied by lowering the process pressure by exhausting the chamber and repeating the cycle by then introducing the inert or reducing gas to the chamber to restore the pressure (Ex. 1). The turbine may be suspended over a tray containing the particulate (Col. 4, lines 43-45). This method is particularly applicable to coating interior spaces in turbine components.

Jenkin discloses that a uniform coating may be deposited on interior surfaces (bores, holes, cavities, etc.) and external surfaces of a substrate simultaneously by subjecting the coating gas to pulsating pressure conditions in which the gas pressure is alternately and repetitively reduced and increased (p. 1. lines 55-80).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to pulse the process pressure in the process of Punola by withdrawing the coating gas (exhausting chamber) to lower the pressure and then introducing the reducing gas to increase the pressure as suggested by Hayman in order to deposit uniform coatings on interior surfaces of the turbine components as well as exterior surfaces as suggested by Jenkin.

Regarding Claim 23, Hayman discloses generating a vacuum by pumping out the chamber before introducing the process gas into the coating room (Ex. 1) and Punola is capable of such since it discloses a coating room having a vacuum pump attached thereto (Col. 3, lines 9-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/538,953

Art Unit: 1792

Regarding Claim 25, by introducing the reducing gas (process gas) of Punola into the chamber to increase the pressure during the pulsing cycles a second coating gas would be generated due to the reducing gas passing over the granules (Al-Cr particulate).

Thus, claims 23 and 25-27 would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 USC 103 over the combined teachings of Punola, Hayman, and Jenkin.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Burkhart whose telephone number is (571)272-6647. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,953 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

/Elizabeth Burkhart/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792